			101
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/491,864	TANYGIN, SERGEI	[
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Fred Ferris	2128	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS I herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED ir 5) or other appropriate commu RIGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due co	ourse. THIS
1. 🛮 This communication is responsive to 30 July 2004.			
2. $oxed{\boxtimes}$ The allowed claim(s) is/are 23-25 and 27-32 (Now re-num	mbered as 1-9).		
3. \square The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examir	ner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	ve been received. ve been received in Applicatio	n No	on from the
* Certified copies not received:		62 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requ	irements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sub INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gi	mitted. Note the attached EXA	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NO declaration is deficient.	TICE OF
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") m (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspe 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☒ including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date 09302004. 	erson's Patent Drawing Review er's Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	. 1.84(c)) should be written on the the header according to 37 CF	ie drawings in the front (not the b R 1.121(d).	ack) of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the department of attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 	posit of BIOLOGICAL MATE T FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO	ERIAL must be submitted. No DLOGICAL MATERIAL	te the
	n • 8	,	
		x8 = ±	
Attachment(s)			
1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		formal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		ımmary (PTO-413), Mail Date <u>09302004</u> .	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No./Mail Date 		Amendment/Comment	
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛛 Examiner's	Statement of Reasons for Allow	ance
of Biological Material	9. 🗌 Other		
		JEAN R. HOME PRIMARY EXAM	RE INER

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 30 July 2004. Claims 23-32 are currently pending in this application. Applicants have now cancelled claims 1-22. Claim 26 is cancelled by the examiners amendment below. Claims 23-25 and 27-32 have now been allowed over the prior art of record.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Christopher B. Kilner (applicant's representative) on 30 September 2004. (See attached interview summary)

Replace claim 23 with the following:

23. A method for a spacecraft maneuver analyst to model orbital maneuver phenomena on a computer without needing to hard-code a software solution for new spatial objects, comprising:

defining an original coordinate system within a graphic user interface of said computer;

defining one or more parent spatial objects relative to said original coordinate system by selection of one or more pre-existing files from within said graphic user interface; and creating at least one new spatial object based on said one or more parent spatial objects, comprising:

defining said new spatial object relative to said one or more parent spatial objects, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/491,864 Page 3

Art Unit: 2128

finding said new spatial object in each of said one or more parent spatial objects; and performing a building operation to obtain a combined transformation based on said parent spatial objects to create said new spatial object, wherein said one or more parent spatial objects and said at least one new spatial object are related to orbital maneuver phenomena.

Cancel claim 26.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicants arguments filed on 30 July 2004 have been fully considered and found to be persuasive. The rejection of claims 23-25 and 27-32 has now been withdrawn.

(See Interview Summary dated 30 September 2003, response to Request for Information, and amendment filed 30 July 2004)

Regarding applicant's response to Request for Information under 37 C.F.R. §

1.105 and IDS issues: Applicants have complied with examiner's Request for

Information under 37 C.F.R. § 1.105 and have sufficiently addressed IDS issues relating to the Astrogator module of the Satellite Tool Kit (STK) which are now made of record.

Regarding applicants response to 35 USC 101 rejection: The examiner withdraws the 35 USC 101 rejection in view of applicant's amendment to claims and arguments presented 30 July 2004.

Regarding applicants response to 35 USC 112(1&2) rejections: The examiner withdraws the 35 USC 112(1&2) rejections in view of applicant's amendment to claims, submission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.105, and arguments filed 30 July 2004 which are now made of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/491,864

Art Unit: 2128

Regarding applicants response to 35 USC 103(a) rejections: The examiner withdraws the 35 USC 103(a) rejections in view of applicant's amendment to claims, the examiner amendment, and arguments presented 30 July 2004. (See interview summary dated 30 September 2003)

Drawings

4. Formal drawings are required since the case has now been allowed.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 23-25 and 27-32 have been allowed over the prior art of record.
<u>The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:</u>

Applicants are disclosing a method for analyzing spacecraft maneuvers between different coordinate systems using GUI software architecture to create vectors, axes, points, elements, coordinate systems, and define objects. These features are generally disclosed in the prior art of record. However, the prior art of record, while disclosing these features does not meet the conditions as suggested in MPEP section 2132, namely:

"The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The elements must be arranged as required by the claim, but this is not an ipsissimis verbis test, i.e., identity of terminology is not required. In re Bond, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990)."

Application/Control Number: 09/491,864

Art Unit: 2128

In particular, the prior art of record does not explicitly disclose the specific sequence of steps and arrangement of elements for defining a new related spatial object relative to one or more parent spatial objects by finding new spatial objects among the parent spatial objects by performing a building operation and combined transformation based on the parent spatial objects that are representative of non-hard coded spacecraft orbital maneuvers, in the context of the claims.

The closest prior art uncovered during examination does not disclose the specific sequence of steps or arrangement of elements as cited above, but discloses certain elements of the claimed invention as follows:

"Space System Visualization and Analysis Using The Satellite Orbit Analysis Program (SOAP)", D. Stodden: discloses 3-D orbit analysis, a graphical user interface (GUI), and construction of coordinate systems.

<u>U.S. Patent 5,717,848 issued to Watanabe et al</u>: discloses finding target objects (parent/child) with 3-D geometric properties related to an objects motion.

Claims 24-25 and 27-32 are allowable as being dependent from independent claim 23.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2128

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Ferris whose telephone number is 703-305-9670 and whose normal working hours are 8:30am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

The Official Fax Numbers are:

After-final (703) 746-7238 Official (703) 746-7239 Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240

Fred Ferrus, Patent Examiner
Simulation and Emulation, Art Unit 2123
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Crystal Park 2, Room 2A22
Crystal City, Virginia 22202
Phone: (703) 305 - 9670
FAX: (703) 305 - 7240
Fred.Ferris@uspto.gov

September 30, 2004

JEANA: HOMERE PRIMARY EXAMINER